UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

IN RE: DAVOL, INC./C.R. BARD, INC., POLYPROPYLENE HERNIA MESH PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Case No. 2:18-md-2846

Civil Action No: 22 cv 351

CHIEF JUDGE EDMUND A. SARGUS, JR. Magistrate Judge Kimberly A. Jolson

This document relates to:
MARLENNE NAVARRETE &
VICTOR MERCADO REYES

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT

Plaintiff(s) file(s) this Short Form Complaint pursuant to Case Management Order No. 9 and is/are to be bound by the rights, protections, and privileges and obligations of that Order. Plaintiff(s) hereby incorporate(s) the Master Complaint in MDL No. 2846 by reference. Plaintiff(s) further show(s) the Court as follows:

Victor Mercado Reyes 3. Other Plaintiff(s) and Capacity (i.e., administrator, executor, guardian, conservator): N/A 4. State of Residence: Oklahoma		
 The name of any Consortium Plaintiff (if applicable): Victor Mercado Reyes Other Plaintiff(s) and Capacity (i.e., administrator, executor, guardian, conservator): N/A State of Residence: Oklahoma 	1.	The name of the person implanted with Defendants' Hernia Mesh Device(s):
Victor Mercado Reyes Other Plaintiff(s) and Capacity (i.e., administrator, executor, guardian, conservator): N/A State of Residence: Oklahoma		Marlenne Navarrete
3. Other Plaintiff(s) and Capacity (i.e., administrator, executor, guardian, conservator): N/A State of Residence: Oklahoma	2.	The name of any Consortium Plaintiff (if applicable):
N/A State of Residence: Oklahoma		Victor Mercado Reyes
4. State of Residence: Oklahoma	3.	Other Plaintiff(s) and Capacity (i.e., administrator, executor, guardian, conservator):
Oklahoma		N/A
	4.	State of Residence:
5. District Court and Division in which action would have been filed absent direct filing:		Oklahoma
	5.	District Court and Division in which action would have been filed absent direct filing:

United States District Court for the Eastern District of Oklahoma

6.	Defen	dants (Check Defendants against whom Complaint is made):
		A. Davol, Inc.
		B. C.R. Bard, Inc.
		C. Other (please list:)
7.		fy which of Defendants' Hernia Mesh Device(s) was/were implanted (Checke(s) implanted):
		3DMax Mesh
		3DMax Light Mesh
		Bard (Marlex) Mesh Dart
		Bard Mesh
		Bard Soft Mesh
		Composix
		Composix E/X
		Composix Kugel Hernia Patch
		Composix L/P
		Kugel Hernia Patch
		Marlex
		Modified Kugel Hernia Patch
		Perfix Light Plug
		PerFix Plug
		Sepramesh IP
		Sperma-Tex
	\boxtimes	Ventralex Hernia Patch

	Ventralex ST Patch
	Ventralight ST
	Ventrio Patch
	Ventrio ST
	Visilex
	Other (please list in space provided below):
8.	dants' Hernia Mesh Device(s) about which Plaintiff is making a claim (Check able device(s)):
	3DMax Mesh
	3DMax Light Mesh
	Bard (Marlex) Mesh Dart
	Bard Mesh
	Bard Soft Mesh
	Composix
	Composix E/X
	Composix Kugel Hernia Patch
	Composix L/P
	Kugel Hernia Patch
	Marlex
	Modified Kugel Hernia Patch
	Perfix Light Plug

		PerFix Plug
		Sepramesh IP
		Sperma-Tex
	\boxtimes	Ventralex Hernia Patch
		Ventralex ST Patch
		Ventralight ST
		Ventrio Patch
		Ventrio ST
		Visilex
		Other (please list in space provided below):
9.	Date	of Implantation and state of implantation: <u>03/11/2016- Oklahoma</u>
10.	Defer	f the date of filing this Short Form Complaint, has the person implanted with dants' Hernia Mesh Device(s) had subsequent surgical intervention due to the la Mesh Device(s)?: Yes

\boxtimes	Count IV- Negligence
\boxtimes	Count V- Negligence Per Se
\boxtimes	Count VI– Gross Negligence
	Count VII – State Consumer Protection Laws (Please identify applicable State Consumer Protection law(s)):
	Oklahoma Consumer Protection Act (OCPA) 15 OK Stat § 15-752, et seq.
	Count VIII – Breach of Implied Warranty
\boxtimes	Count IX – Breach of Express Warranty
	Count X – Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress
\boxtimes	Count XI – Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress
	Count XII – Negligent Misrepresentation
\boxtimes	Count XIII – Fraud and Fraudulent Misrepresentation
\boxtimes	Count XIV – Fraudulent Concealment
	Count XV – Wrongful Death
\boxtimes	Count XVI – Loss of Consortium
\boxtimes	Count XVII – Punitive Damages
	Other Count(s) (please identify and state factual and legal bases for other claims not included in the Master Complaint below):
	Jury Trial is Demanded as to All Counts
	Jury Trial is NOT Demanded as to All Counts; if Jury Trial is
	Demanded as to Any Count(s), identify which ones (list below):

s/ Andrea L. Sapone
Attorney(s) for Plaintiff

Andrea L. Sapone DeGaris Wright McCall
Two North Twentieth St, Suite 1030
Birmingham AL 35203 ASB-4438-E68S asapone@dwmlawyers.com